Geodesic growth in right-angled Artin and even Coxeter groups

Laura Ciobanu (joint with Yago Antolín)

University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Braunschweig, May 21, 2013

Question (Loeffler, Meier, Worthington, IJAC 2002)

Can two non-isomorphic right-angled Artin groups have the same geodesic growth series?

Question (Loeffler, Meier, Worthington, IJAC 2002)

Can two non-isomorphic right-angled Artin groups have the same geodesic growth series?

Answer: Yes.

(Antolin – C., *EJC* 2013)

The goal of this work is to understand geodesic growth from a qualitative perspective for

- right-angled Artin groups (RAAGs)
- right-angled Coxeter groups (RACGs), and for
- even Coxeter groups.

Coxeter systems

Coxeter systems

A Coxeter system is

- a finite simplicial graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, together with
- a labelling map $m \colon E \to \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Coxeter group $G_{(\Gamma,m)}$ associated to a Coxeter System (Γ, m) ,

$$\mathcal{G}_{(\Gamma,m)}=\langle \ V \ | \ v^2=1 \ v \in V, \ (uv)^{m(\{u,v\})}=1 \ ext{for} \ \{u,v\} \in E \
angle$$

Coxeter systems

A Coxeter system is

- a finite simplicial graph $\Gamma = (V, E)$, together with
- a labelling map $m \colon E \to \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$.

Coxeter group $G_{(\Gamma,m)}$ associated to a Coxeter System (Γ, m) ,

$$G_{(\Gamma,m)} = \langle V \mid v^2 = 1 \ v \in V, \ (uv)^{m(\{u,v\})} = 1 \ \text{for} \ \{u,v\} \in E \ \rangle$$

RACGs and RAAGs

- The system is even if *m* only takes even values.
- ► The system is right-angled if *m* only takes the value 2.
- The right-angled Coxeter group (RACG) G determined by Γ is

$$\langle s \in S \mid s^2 = 1 \ \forall s \in S, \ \mathrm{and} \ (ss')^2 = 1 \ \forall \{s, s'\} \in E \rangle.$$

RACGs and RAAGs

- The system is even if *m* only takes even values.
- ► The system is right-angled if *m* only takes the value 2.
- The right-angled Coxeter group (RACG) G determined by Γ is

$$\langle s \in S \mid s^2 = 1 \ \forall s \in S, \ \mathrm{and} \ (ss')^2 = 1 \ \forall \{s, s'\} \in E \rangle.$$

• The right-angled Artin group (RAAG) H determined by Γ is

$$\langle s \in S \mid ss' = s's \; \forall \{s,s'\} \in E \rangle.$$

Types of growth

 \bullet G - group generated by S

The spherical (standard) growth function $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is given by

 $\sigma(r)$ = number of elements in G of length r.

Types of growth

• G - group generated by S

The spherical (standard) growth function $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is given by

 $\sigma(\mathbf{r}) =$ number of elements in G of length \mathbf{r} .

The geodesic growth function $\gamma : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ is given by

 $\gamma(r)$ = number of geodesics in G of length r.

Growth series

Spherical growth series

$$\mathcal{S}_{(G,X)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sigma(n) z^n$$

Geodesic growth series

$$\mathcal{G}_{(G,X)}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma(n) z^n$$

Spherical growth for right-angled Coxeter groups

Spherical growth for right-angled Coxeter groups

Let a_n be the number of *n*-cliques of Γ . The *f*-polynomial of Γ is

$$f_{\Gamma}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \dots a_m x^m$$

Spherical growth for right-angled Coxeter groups

Let a_n be the number of *n*-cliques of Γ . The *f*-polynomial of Γ is

$$f_{\Gamma}(x) = a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2 + a_3 x^3 + \dots a_m x^m$$

Theorem (Steinberg (1968))

$$\frac{1}{\mathcal{S}(G_{\Gamma})(z)} = f_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{-z}{1+z}\right)$$

The spherical growth of RACGs and RAAGs

• Only depends on the *f*-polynomial of the simplicial graph.

Ex: Two trees with the same number of vertices have the same spherical growth.



The geodesic growth of RACGs and RAAGs

There exist graphs with same *f*-polynomial but different geodesic growth.



Remarks

- ► All the groups in this talk have regular languages of geodesics with respect to the standard generating sets ⇒
- All geodesic growth series are rational.
- All Coxeter groups have regular languages of geodesics (Brink-Howlett 1993).
- All Garside groups and lots of Artin groups have regular languages of geodesics (spherical, large etc.)
- Changing the generating sets will modify all statements above.

Main question

Can two non-isomorphic RAAGs (or RACGs) have the same geodesic growth?

Main question

Can two non-isomorphic RAAGs (or RACGs) have the same geodesic growth?

YES.

Theorem (A - C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then the geodesic growth of the right-angled Coxeter (or Artin) group based on Γ is a function of the sizes of the links and the f-polynomial.

Theorem (A - C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then the geodesic growth of the right-angled Coxeter (or Artin) group based on Γ is a function of the sizes of the links and the f-polynomial.

Theorem (A - C)

Let Γ be a r-regular, triangle-free graph. Then for RACGs

$$\mathcal{G}(\Gamma) = \frac{1 - (r - 3)t + 2t^2}{1 + (-|V| - r + 3)t + (-2|V| + 2 + r|V|)t^2}.$$

Theorem (A - C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then the geodesic growth of the right-angled Coxeter (or Artin) group based on Γ is a function of the sizes of the links and the f-polynomial.

Theorem (A - C)

Let Γ be a r-regular, triangle-free graph. Then for RACGs

$$\mathcal{G}(\Gamma) = \frac{1 - (r - 3)t + 2t^2}{1 + (-|V| - r + 3)t + (-2|V| + 2 + r|V|)t^2}.$$

Theorem (A - C)

Let (Γ, m) be an even Coxeter system with Γ triangle-free and star-regular. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the star of a vertex and |V|.

Corollary. Let G and G' be two right-angled Artin or Coxeter groups that are link-regular and have the same f-polynomial. Then G and G' have the same geodesic growth.

The smallest example



Figure: Two RACGs or RAAGs with the same geodesic growth

We are going to look at

Theorem (A–C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the sizes of the links of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and the f-polynomial.

We are going to look at

Theorem (A–C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the sizes of the links of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and the f-polynomial.

•
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \sigma \text{ is a clique } \}.$$

We are going to look at

Theorem (A–C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the sizes of the links of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and the f-polynomial.

•
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \sigma \text{ is a clique } \}.$$

► For
$$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$$
, $\mathsf{Lk}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V \setminus \sigma | \{v\} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$

We are going to look at

Theorem (A–C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the sizes of the links of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and the f-polynomial.

•
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \sigma \text{ is a clique } \}.$$

► For
$$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$$
, $\mathsf{Lk}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V \setminus \sigma | \{v\} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$

For
$$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$$
, $\mathsf{St}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V | \{ v \} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$

We are going to look at

Theorem (A–C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the sizes of the links of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and the f-polynomial.

•
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \sigma \text{ is a clique } \}.$$

► For
$$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$$
, $\mathsf{Lk}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V \setminus \sigma | \{v\} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$

- ► For $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathsf{St}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V | \{v\} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$
- Γ is link-regular if $|Lk(\sigma)|$ only depends on $|\sigma|$.

We are going to look at

Theorem (A–C)

Let Γ be a link-regular graph. Then $\mathcal{G}(\Gamma)$ is a function of the sizes of the links of $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$ and the f-polynomial.

•
$$\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \sigma \text{ is a clique } \}.$$

► For
$$\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$$
, $\mathsf{Lk}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V \setminus \sigma | \{v\} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$

- ► For $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mathsf{St}(\sigma) = \{ v \in V | \{v\} \cup \sigma \in \mathcal{A} \}.$
- Γ is link-regular if $|Lk(\sigma)|$ only depends on $|\sigma|$.

For a RACG: describe and analyze an automaton that accepts the language of geodesics.

For a RACG: describe and analyze an automaton that accepts the language of geodesics.

For a RAAG: use a result of Droms and Sevatius that connects Cayley graphs of RACSs and RAAGs.

States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \sigma \text{ is a clique } \}$ together with a fail state ρ

States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$

$$\longrightarrow \overleftarrow{\tau} \qquad \qquad \text{iff } v \notin \sigma \text{ and } \tau = (\mathsf{St}(v) \cap \sigma) \cup \{v\}$$

States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$

Transitions



Example ((a) (b) (c))

States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$







States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



States $\mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \Gamma | \ \sigma \text{ is a clique } \} \text{ together with a fail state } \rho$



$$\mathsf{iff} \,\, \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \,\, \mathsf{and} \,\, \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



 $\label{eq:states} \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{States} \\ \mathcal{A} = \{ \sigma \in \mathsf{\Gamma} | \ \sigma \ \text{is a clique} \ \} \ \text{together with a fail state} \ \rho \end{array}$



$$\mathsf{iff} \ \mathsf{v} \notin \sigma \ \mathsf{and} \ \tau = (\mathsf{St}(\mathsf{v}) \cap \sigma) \cup \{\mathsf{v}\}$$



Even Coxeter groups

Theorem 2. [A - C]

Let (W, S) be an even Coxeter system with graph Γ , where Γ is triangle-free and star-regular. The geodesic growth of W depends only on |S| and the isomorphism class of the star of the vertices.

Even Coxeter groups

Theorem 2. [A - C]

Let (W, S) be an even Coxeter system with graph Γ , where Γ is triangle-free and star-regular. The geodesic growth of W depends only on |S| and the isomorphism class of the star of the vertices.

In particular, if (W_1, S_1) and (W_2, S_2) are triangle-free, star-regular, even Coxeter systems with $|S_1| = |S_2|$ and $St(v) \cong St(u)$, $\forall v \in V\Gamma_1$, $u \in V\Gamma_2$, then W_1 and W_2 have the same geodesic growth.



Figure: Two even Coxeter groups with the same geodesic growth

1. Do there exist non-isomorphic trees whose RACGs or RAAGs have the same geodesic growth?

1. Do there exist non-isomorphic trees whose RACGs or RAAGs have the same geodesic growth?

2. If two RACGs have the same geodesic growth, does it imply that they have the same standard growth?

1. Do there exist non-isomorphic trees whose RACGs or RAAGs have the same geodesic growth?

2. If two RACGs have the same geodesic growth, does it imply that they have the same standard growth?

3. Understand geodesic rigidity for all even Coxeter groups, and possibly for all Coxeter groups.

1. Do there exist non-isomorphic trees whose RACGs or RAAGs have the same geodesic growth?

2. If two RACGs have the same geodesic growth, does it imply that they have the same standard growth?

3. Understand geodesic rigidity for all even Coxeter groups, and possibly for all Coxeter groups.